

# **METHODS/PROTOCOLS**

# Surface plasmon resonance assay for screening diverse aptamer-target interactions

Omma Shahara Ayon<sup>1</sup> and Maureen McKeague<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 0B8, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3G 1Y6, Canada

\*Correspondence to: Maureen McKeague, Email: maureen.mckeague@mcgill.ca

Received: 18 January 2024 | Revised: 15 February | Accepted: 15 February 2024 | Published: 23 March 2024

© Copyright The Author(s). This is an open access article, published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0). This license permits non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction of this article, provided the original work is appropriately acknowledged, with correct citation details.

# ABSTRACT

Natural aptamers control biological processes in bacteria while synthetic nucleic acid aptamers have been applied to numerous biotechnological applications. Prior to developing aptamer technologies, sequence variants and specificity must be characterized. Here, we present a highly sensitive surface plasmon resonance (SPR) method adapted to rapidly screen aptamer variants against diverse molecules.

**KEYWORDS:** Aptamer, surface plasmon resonance, aptamer-target interaction, equilibrium constant, binding assay, kinetics

# INTRODUCTION

Following identification of aptamer sequences from in vitro selection, many potential binding sequences must be validated for specificity and affinity (Mckeague et al, 2022). To characterize interactions between aptamers and their biomolecular targets, several biophysical techniques (DeRosa et al, 2023) are used including Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) (Slavkovic and Johnson, 2023), Flow Cytometry (Kelly et al, 2021), Microscale Thermophoresis (MST) (Breitsprecher et al, 2016), Bio-Layer Interferometry (BLI) (Lou et al, 2016) etc. Each techniques possess unique benefits and have been employed to quantify molecular interaction and binding kinetics or obtain thermodynamic and stoichiometric information (Yu et al, 2021). Here, we present the utility and adaptability of the Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) method to screen aptamer-target interactions. In particular, SPR stands out as a versatile biomolecular characterization technique for providing both kinetic MATERIALS AND PROTOCOL METHOD and affinity data even for weak aptamer-target interactions (Chang et al, 2014; Chang et al, 2014).

The underlying principle of SPR relies on the change of (Cytiva, USA), BI-4500 (Biosensing Instrument, USA).

refractive index near the chip sensor surface (Figure 1A) (Wang et al, 2022). Multiple formats can be used to measure aptamer-target interactions, whereby either the target or the aptamer can be immobilized to the surface with the other binding partner flowing through the flow cell. One highly versatile method involves capturing the aptamer onto the sensor surface through strong but reversible interactions such as hybridization or biotin-streptavidin interactions (Girolamo et al, 2018). Then, multiple different target solutions pass through the flow cell. When the target interacts with the immobilized aptamer, the refractive index increases in real time (Yu and Wu, 2019). The real time change in refractive index is plotted as a response (Resonance Unit, RU) over time, generating a sensorgram (Figure 1B) (Arney and Weeks, 2022). Sensorgrams can then be used to fit kinetic or equilibrium binding constants (Vo et al, 2019).

#### Surface plasmon resonance instrument

Any surface plasmon resonance instrument should work SPR is a real time and sensitive optical sensing technique. for this purpose: including Biacore T200 or Biacore 8K+



Figure 1. Surface plasmon resonance assay. A) Principle of SPR measurement B) Typical SPR sensorgram showing the baseline of immobilized aptamer; target association; equilibrium; and target dissociation.

Immobilization strategy	Application
Target	This is a suitable strategy when screening many different aptamer candidates or an aptamer library against a single target. Chips with a variety of functionalization handles are available including streptavidin, nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid, polyethylene glycol, and carboxymethylated dextran. Immobilizing the target can impact aptamer binding.
Aptamer immobilized through hybridization (Chang et al, 2014)	This is the most versatile strategy. Can be used for measuring different aptamer candidates as well as many targets. This method offers reversibility, enabling the regeneration of the sensor surfaces for measuring many different aptamer-target pairs. Note that immobilization on either end of the aptamer may impact aptamer folding and thus binding.
Aptamer immobilized via biotin/ streptavidin (Ostatná et al, 2008; Froehlich et al, 2023)	This is a suitable strategy to test a single aptamer against many targets. Due to the strong biotin-streptavidin interaction, surface regeneration is harsh. Due to the cost of RNA synthesis, modifying an aptamer with biotin is not recommended.

and reagents listed for compatibility. We used a Biacore X100 (Cytiva, USA) with Biacore X100 Evaluation Software version 2.0 (Cytiva, USA) for data processing and analysis.

#### Chemicals

Any running buffer suitable for the experiment and the equipment. For example, our protocol makes use of the running buffer 10mM HEPES pH 7.4; 150mM NaCl, 5mM MgCl, prepared with RNase-free purified milli-Q water  $(18.2M\Omega \cdot cm)$  followed by filtration through a  $0.2\mu m$  filter. To dissolve some small molecules, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) can be used at low concentrations. For sensor surface immobilization purposes, we used an Amine Coupling Kit (Cat. BR100050; Cytiva, USA) containing 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), 1.0M ethanolamine-HCl pH 8.5. As surfactant we used hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) (Cat. 52365; MilliporeSigma, USA).

#### Sensor surface immobilization

Different immobilization conditions are available (Table 1) depending on whether the aptamer or target is immobilized (Löfås and Mcwhirter, 2006).

binding to the aptamer portion of a natural riboswitch, micelles. Finally, 1M ethanolamine at pH 8.5 or tris buffer capturing the aptamer to the chip surface via hybridization can be injected to block any remaining activated groups.

©The Authors | Aptamers | 2024 | Volume 7 | 7-12 | OPEN ACCES

However, other systems might require different materials is most suitable permitting many rounds of different small molecules to be tested for binding to the aptamerimmobilized chip surface. An immobilized "Complementary Small Oligo Sequence" (CSOS) for hybridization is ideal for this purpose such that we can easily capture an aptamer sequence extended with a sequence complementary to the CSOS. For example, a CSOS (/5AmMC6/-TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT, IDT) with a 5' end amino modification can be covalently immobilized to a carboxymethylated dextran sensor chip (e.g., CM5 from Cytiva) via an amine coupling reaction, then any aptamer synthesized with a 24-mer polyA tail can be captured.

Before immobilization, a pre-concentration test should be performed to ensure sufficient interaction of the negatively charged CSOS to the negatively charged sensor surface decorated with carboxylic acid groups (carboxymethlated dextran). Notably, a surfactant carrier such as hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, 1.2mM) prepared in 10mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) will improve CSOS interaction with the chip surface. Once the pre-concentration shows sufficient interaction of CSOS with the chip, an immobilization reaction is performed to covalently attach the CSOS onto both flow cells (FC1 as the reference cell and FC2 as the measurement cell) on the sensor chip. Specifically, a 1:1 volume ratio of EDC and NHS is injected to activate the To screen and characterize diverse small molecules for reaction, followed by injection of CSOS carried by the CTAB

When preparing a chip in this way, we recommend aiming association and 90µl/min of buffer is applied to monitor for approximately 3,000 RU of immobilized CSOS on each flow cell.

#### Aptamer constructs for immobilization via hybridization

Any putative DNA/RNA aptamer or aptamer construct can be used in the hybridization technique if there is a complementary domain for capture to the CSOS immobilized chip. For example, we tested the binding of the aptamer domain of the Fusobacterium nucleatum impX RFN element (FMN riboswitch). This RNA aptamer can be transcribed from the PCR product of a DNA aptamer domain template (5'-TTCTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGATCTTCGGGGCAGGGT-GAAATTCCCGACCGGTGGTATAGTCCACGAAAGTATTTGCTTT-GATTTGGTGAAATTCCAAAACCGACAGTAGAGTCTGGATGA-from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) (Coralville, USA) containing a T7 promoter for transcription at the 5' end and a polyA tail at the 3' end. Transcribed RNA should be purified (e.g., using Monarch RNA Cleanup Kit from New England Biolabs, USA) however, laborious purification of RNA via gel electrophoresis rarely improves binding measurements as shorter transcripts missing the polyA sequence will simply not be captured on the chip. Regardless of the immobilization strategy used, we recommend preparing a scrambled negative control sequence in a similar manner. This can be captured on the reference flow cell (FC1). For all aptamers (DNA and RNA), stock solutions are prepared to a final concentration of  $\sim$ 1-3  $\mu$ M in the SPR running buffer.

# Preparation of targets for binding to immobilized aptamers

Any protein or small molecule target can be tested. Small molecules with high solubility can be directly prepared in buffer. As an example, we prepared a flavin mononucleotide (FMN) (Cat. F6750; MilliporeSigma, USA) solution in running buffer. However, small molecules with low water solubility can be dissolved in 100% DMSO if the working concentrations are diluted to 0.1-0.5 % DMSO, ensuring the same concentration of DMSO in each dilution.

#### Aptamer-target binding assay

Prior to beginning an assay, the SPR should be primed with the running buffer followed by three to five startup cycles with aptamer to stabilize the sensorgram baseline (Chang et al, 2014). During each startup cycle, aptamers and the scrambled control sequence (~1-3µM) can be captured (FC1) respectively for 40 seconds at a flow rate of  $5\mu$ /min. Longer times can be used but typically the low flow rate ensures efficient capture of the aptamer. A well-prepared chip should easily allow ~2000 RUs of aptamer captured onto the surface which is sufficient to detect binding to even small molecule targets as low as 75gm/mol in molecular weight.

Once aptamer capture is optimized, the target solutions and running buffer can be set up for injection over both flow cells (FC1 and FC2). High flow rates are used to measure association and dissociation, balancing consumption of the target. Therefore, typically 30µl/min is used for target affinity analysis is required (Figure 3B). In some cases, it is

target dissociation. Finally, the surface can be regenerated using a variety of reagents: when working with aptamerhybridized immobilization, 25mM NaOH for 30sec is highly effective; while high concentration of NaCl is recommended when proteins are immobilized. Adjustment of association and dissociation time may be necessary for certain interactions; whereas increasing the flow rate can help determine if there are mass transport limitations.

## ANTICIPATED RESULTS

#### Applying SPR for aptamer-target screening

The SPR method can be used for screening variants of the parent aptamer sequence, including truncations and mutants. Rather than measuring binding of the target at many concentrations, a single concentration where binding is observed in a parent aptamer can be selected to screen the relative impact of different sequences on binding response. By comparing the binding responses, non-functional aptamer variants can be deduced, and promising ones can be selected for further evaluation to measure quantitative binding parameters (Figure 2) (Malmqvist, 1993).

On the other hand, the SPR method is valuable for rapidly determining specificity of an aptamer. In this case, the same aptamer is immobilized onto the surface, and the cognate binding target partner is used at a concentration greater than the  $K_p$  as a positive control. Next, one or two high concentrations of each counter molecule can be assayed to determine if there is any binding response. Due to the double-referencing method, non-specific interactions are accounted for. As such, small molecule concentrations of 500µM and micromolar protein concentrations can be applied. By comparing the binding responses, targets with no affinity for the aptamer can easily be discarded, while those with some interaction can be further evaluated using a greater concentration range to measure quantitative binding parameters.

#### Data analysis

To compare results from a large screening of aptamer variants or target molecules, the double reference subtraction including negative control and blank reference is essential to eliminate false positives (Arney and Weeks, 2022). Moreover, it is crucial to include a positive control to set a response range, enabling the comparison of the signal from the screened variants to the control (Figure 2). Note that different target molecules may exhibit distinct bindonto the active flow cell (FC2) and the reference flow cell ing behaviors and thus result in sensorgrams with different shapes, described below.

> Once the best target molecules or aptamer variants have been identified through the screening assay, the aptamertarget interaction can be characterized based via kinetic analysis or steady-state affinity analysis. The type of analysis performed depends on the sensorgram. When the sensorgram displays a curved pattern during both association and dissociation but fails to reach a stable state during association, kinetic analysis should be done (Figure 3A). However, if the association and dissociation occur too rapidly to exhibit a curved pattern suitable for kinetic assessment,



Figure 2. Example of an aptamer screening assay: comparing mutational variants of an aptamer and their impact on target binding. A) SPR results for each variant. B) Predicted aptamer structure and location of tested variants.



Figure 3. SPR Sensorgrams. A) Sensorgrams suitable for kinetic analysis B) Sensorgrams suitable for affinity analysis C) Sensorgrams suitable for both kinetic and affinity analysis.

possible to perform both kinetic and affinity analysis when the sensorgram reaches equilibrium along with sufficient association curvature (Figure 3C). All these curve types are possible with aptamers.

For kinetic analysis, the  $K_p$  can be calculated from Eq. 1, where  $R_t$  is the response with time,  $k_a$  represents association rate constant,  $k_a$  represents dissociation rate constant and [Target], represents target concentrations (Figure 4A). For equilibrium analysis, it is ideal to perform the assessment over a range of several concentrations of the target molecule and the equilibrium dissociation constant,  $K_p$  can be calculated using Eq 2 by plotting the steady state binding response ( $R_{eq}$ ) against target concentrations (Fig. 4B). In both calculations,  $R_{max}$  is the maximum response regenerated by aptamer-target interaction.

$$R_{t} = \frac{R_{max} \left[ Target \right]}{K_{D} + \left[ Target \right]} \left[ 1 - e^{-\left(k_{a} \left[ Target \right] + k_{d} \right)t} \right]$$
 Eq. 1

$$R_{eq} = \frac{R_{max} [Target]}{K_D + [Target]}$$
Eq. 2

# **CRITICAL PARAMETERS AND TROUBLESHOOTING**

#### Buffer mismatch and effects of DMSO

It is ideal to prepare fresh buffer. Buffer stored at room temperature can accumulate dissolved air creating air-spikes in the sensorgram (Nilvebrant, 2018). Another important consideration is buffer mismatch which can create negative binding response. This happens when organic solvents, such as DMSO, are used to prepare the small molecule solutions (Navratilova et al, 2007). Therefore, the same DMSO percentage in the running buffer should be used to avoid any buffer mismatch effects (Giannetti, 2011; Sparks et al, 2019).

#### **Baseline drift**

A commonly observed issue in SPR is baseline drift resulting from a non-equilibrated sensor surface particularly when docking a new sensor chip or changing the running buffer. To avoid baseline drift, it is recommended to equilibrate



**Figure 4.** Representative SPR data of FMN binding to the aptamer portion of the FMN riboswitch. **A)** Sensorgram from a kinetic analysis with a good range of concentrations. **B)** Steady-state affinity fitting curve with a good range of target molecule concentrations. The dash line indicates the  $K_{\rho}$ . Error bars represent the standard deviation of technical duplicates.

the system by flowing running buffer over the sensor surface and performing priming before each assay (Drescher and Drescher, 2023). Three to five start up cycles are recommended to stabilize the baseline. This also provides an opportunity to stop or modify automated assays to optimize for sufficient aptamer capture.

#### **CSOS** Immobilization

HPLC purification is recommended for any functionalized CSOS.

The pre-concentration assay might show a weak interaction, indicating insufficient CTAB to balance negative charges of CSOS. To optimize this interaction, test a variety of CTAB to CSOS ratios during pre-concentration.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the McKeague lab in particular Dr Maira Rivera for helping with Figure 1 and Dr Eiman Osman for useful discussion. We thank the Fonds de Recherche du Québec Nature et technologies (Projet de recherche en équipe PR-284070 grant) and Canada Research Chairs Tier II Program for funding.

#### STATEMENT OF COMPETING INTERESTS

None declared.

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CSOS: Complementary Small Oligo Sequence
CTAB: hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide
DMSO: dimethyl sulfoxide
FMN: flavin mononucleotide
*K<sub>p</sub>*: dissociation equilibrium constant
RU: Response Unit
SPR: Surface Plasmon Resonance

### REFERENCES

Arney JW and Weeks KM. 2022. RNA-ligand interactions quantified by surface plasmon resonance with reference subtraction. Biochemistry, 61, 1625-1632.

- Breitsprecher D, Schlinck N, Witte D, Duhr S, Baaske P and Schubert T. 2016. Aptamer binding studies using microscale thermophoresis. Methods Mol Biol, 1380, 99-111.
- Chang AL, McKeague M, Liang JC and Smolke CD. 2014. Kinetic and equilibrium binding characterization of aptamers to small molecules using a label-free, sensitive, and scalable platform. Anal Chem, 86(7), 3273-3278.
- Chang AL, McKeague M and Smolke CD. 2014. Facile characterization of aptamer kinetic and equilibrium binding properties using surface plasmon resonance. Methods Enzymol, 549, 451-466.
- DeRosa MC, Lin A, Mallikaratchy P, et al. 2023. In vitro selection of aptamers and their applications. Nat Rev Methods Primers, 3, 55.
- Drescher DG and Drescher MJ. 2023. Protein interaction analysis by surface plasmon resonance. Methods Mol Biol, 2652, 319-344.
- Froehlich CE, He J and Haynes CL. 2023. Investigation of charged small molecule–aptamer interactions with surface plasmon resonance. Anal Chem, 95, 2639-2644.
- Giannetti AM. 2011. From Experimental Design to Validated Hits: A comprehensive walk-through of fragment lead identification using surface plasmon resonance. Methods Enzymol, 493, 169-218.
- Girolamo A De, McKeague M, Pascale M, Cortese M and DeRosa MC. 2018. Immobilization of aptamers on substrates. in: yiyang dong (ed) *Aptamers for Analytical Applications: Affinity Acquisition and Method Design*, John Wiley & Sons, Weinheim, Germany, 1, pp 85-126.
- Kelly L, Maier KE, Yan A and Levy M. 2021. A comparative analysis of cell surface targeting aptamers. Nat Commun, 12(1), 1–14.
- Löfås S and Mcwhirter A. 2006. The art of immobilization for SPR sensors. In: Jiří Homola (ed) *Surface Plasmon Resonance Based Sensors*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 4, pp 117-151.
- Lou X, Egli M and Yang X. 2016. Determining functional aptamerprotein interaction by biolayer interferometry. Curr Protoc Nucleic Acid Chem, 67, 7.25.1-7.25.15.
- Malmqvist M. 1993. Biospecific interaction analysis using biosensor technology. Nature, 361(6408) 186-187.
- Mckeague M, Calzada V, Cerchia L, et al. 2022. The minimum aptamer publication standards (MAPS guidelines) for de novo aptamer selection. Aptamers, 6, 10-18.
- Navratilova I, Papalia GA, Rich RL, et al. 2007. Thermodynamic benchmark study using Biacore technology. Anal Biochem, 364, 67-77.
- Nilvebrant J. 2018. Kinetic analysis and epitope binning using surface plasmon resonance. Methods Mol Biol, 1785, 187-205.
- Ostatná V, Vaisocherová H, Homola J and Hianik T. 2008. Effect of the immobilisation of DNA aptamers on the detection of thrombin by means of surface plasmon resonance. Anal Bioanal Chem, 391, 1861-1869.

- Slavkovic S and Johnson PE. 2023. Analysis of aptamer-small molecule binding interactions using Isothermal Titration Calorimetry. Methods Mol Biol, 2570, 105-118.
- Sparks RP, Jenkins JL and Fratti R. 2019. Use of surface plasmon resonance (SPR) to determine binding affinities and kinetic parameters between components important in fusion machinery. Methods Mol Biol, 1860, 199-210.
- Vo T, Paul A, Kumar A, Boykin DW and Wilson WD. 2019. Biosensorsurface plasmon resonance: A strategy to help establish a new generation RNA-specific small molecules. Methods, 167, 15-27.
- Wang Q, Ren ZH, Zhao WM, et al. 2022. Research advances on surface plasmon resonance biosensors. Nanoscale, 14, 564-591.
- Yu H, Alkhamis O, Canoura J, Liu Y and Xiao Y. 2021. advances and challenges in small-molecule DNA aptamer isolation, characterization, and sensor development. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl, 60(31), 16800–16823.
- Yu N and Wu J. 2019. Rapid and reagentless detection of thrombin in clinic samples via microfluidic aptasensors with multiple target-binding sites. Biosens Bioelectron, 146, 111726.